

Section 83CIV – Bidder Questions and Answer – January 12, 2024

Question 1:

In Part IV of the CPPD form, is the term EDC intended to mean only MA EDCs, or all EDCs participating in the multi-state solicitation?

Response 1:

For Multi-State Proposals, EDC is intended to mean all EDCs participating in the multi-state solicitation; this question is seeking information regarding any offtakers outside of the multi-state solicitation. Please see row 40 of Part IV on the revised Certification, Project and Pricing Data (CPPD) form.

Question 2:

If the same Proposal is offered as a single-state Massachusetts Proposal and a multi-state Proposal, is this considered two different proposals or just one? Is this considered one pricing proposal for the purpose of bid fees?

Response 2:

Please see the Bid Fees section in the Multi-State Proposal Form (<https://macleanenergy.com/83c-iv/83c-iv-documents/>) and the response to Question 32 in Connecticut’s Response to Bidder Questions (see 2023 Offshore Wind Procurement, Frequently Asked Questions here: [https://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DEEPEnergy.nsf/\\$EnergyView?OpenForm&Start=1&Count=30&Expand=2&Seq=3](https://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DEEPEnergy.nsf/$EnergyView?OpenForm&Start=1&Count=30&Expand=2&Seq=3))

Question 3:

If the same Proposal is offered as a single-state Massachusetts Proposal and a multi-state Proposal, how many CPPD Forms should the bidder fill out, one or two?

- a. For example, the RI CPPD form in Part I states that “If a bidder is submitting a Multi-State Bid ... a separate CPPD Form must be filled out in full, and must indicate which it is”.

Response 3:

Please see the response to Question 28 in Connecticut’s Response to Bidder Questions.

Question 4:

Where on the CPPD form should the bidder indicate a proposal is for a single state, multi-state, or both?

Response 4:

Bidders are encouraged to include identification of multi-state bids in the name of the project. Please see also Part IV of the revised Certification, Project and Pricing Data (CPPD) form.

Question 5:

If a bidder is submitting a multi-state proposal where the CPPD form refers to Buyer’s Percentage Entitlement does this refer to only MA EDCs or any EDC participating in the Multi-State Solicitation?

- a. For example, a bidder offers the same proposal as both a single-state and a multi-state proposal:
 - i. For a single state assume the minimum buyer's percentage entitlement is 100%, meaning the facility is fully contracted with MA EDCs.
 - ii. For a multi-state, should the developer:
 - 1. Set the minimum buyer's percentage entitlement to 100% on the MA CPPD form. The intention is that the facility must be fully contracted across the applicable states.
 - 2. Or, set the minimum buyer's percentage entitlement to the minimum amount the developer would contract with MA EDCs, understanding that the project would still have to be 100% contracted across all three states.

Response 5:

Please see the response to Question 31 in Connecticut's Responses to Bidder Questions and row 32 of Part IV on the revised Certification, Project and Pricing Data (CPPD) form.

Question 6:

How should severable economic development commitments be shown on the CPPD form?

Response 6:

Please see the response to Question 29 in Connecticut's Responses to Bidder Questions. Severable economic development commitments should not be reported on the CPPD form. Bidders should follow the instructions on the Multi-State Proposal Form

Question 7:

Should each severable commitment be a separate pricing option? How should the price be apportioned with regard to severable commitments?

Response 7:

Please See the response to Question 29 in Connecticut's Responses to Bidder Questions